Dear Harold,

Regarding your last letter to me of July 29, 1999, it appears you did not closely read my last letter to you of July 26, 1999, in which I specifically say that I do intend (contrary to what you suggest) to make an effort on my own to see if there is any support for the allegation you made in your book "Case Ogened" that Oswald hela top leant sleavance in the Maines and this fact was expunsed from his Marin records. ale, contrary to your letter, I said that because of your health and age I lid not want you to make any further effort to get the information for me. as fai es Oswald's Marine collegues are somerned, nowhere in their testing or affidaints do they say, as you alley, that they or Oswald had a Top Secret or even Secret clearance. As fas as Oswald's sugarios, LT. John Donovan, is concerned, he lid testify that he assumed Oswald had a Secret (not Top Secret) clearance because, he said, "that was a minimum requirement for all of us." (8 H 298) But the HSCA found that Donoven's assumption was incorrect. They reviewed the files of four entested men who worked with Oswald and learned they each had only a Confidential slearance les HSCA Ryort, gag 219, and JFK Document # 013677. So unless & (or you)

Konfidented oleaner, as set forth in his Marin records (19 H GC5) seems to be assured.

About my being regarible and factual, I have think any true crims writer in America has a better regulation than I for being both of their things, and I have intend to Do anything to alter that regulation with my book on the Hennely association.

Harde, if there is any reference to you in my book, it will be a respectful one, acknowledging all the seminal research you have how into the facts and circumstances surrounding the assessment of President Tennely. I have just you into the category of the Mark Janes, Robert Brokens and Jim Garrisons of this world.

Sincerely and regartfully,